

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/688,059		COSTANTINO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	CECILIA TSANG		1654	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) CECILIA TSANG. (3) Marcela M Cordero Garcia.  
 (2) Andrea Reister.  (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 03 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: references of record in previous O.A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Marcela M Cordero Garcia/  
 Patent Examiner, AU1654  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative proposed amendments that would limit claim 1 to "consisting of" which are deemed to overcome the outstanding 103 and ODP rejections. With respect to claim 14, as proposed to be amended, further search and consideration would be necessary in order to determine allowability. The IDS filed in 05/07 appears not to have been considered and therefore will be considered in the next office action. Applicant's representative is not required to provide a follow up summary for this interview summary..